arXiv:1211.2876v1 [math.DG] 13 Nov 2012

SOME RESULTS ON SPACE-LIKE SELF-SHRINKERS

HUAQIAO LIU AND Y. L. XIN

Abstract. We study space-like self-shrinkers of dimension n in pseudo-Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$with index m. We derive drift Laplacian of the basic geometric quantities and obtain their optimal volume estimates in pseudo-distance function. Finally, we prove a rigidity result.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ be an $(m+n)$-dimensional pseudo-Euclidean space with the index m. The indefinite flat metric on $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ is defined by $ds^2=\sum_{i=1}^n(dx^i)^2-\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{m+n}(dx^\alpha)^2$. In what follows we agree with the following range of indices

$$A,\,B,\,C,\cdots=1,\cdots,m+n;\;i,\,j,\,k\cdots=1,\cdots,n;$$

$$s,t=1,\,\cdots,m;\;\alpha,\,\beta,\cdots=n+1,\cdots,m+n.$$

Let $F:M\to\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ be a space-like n-dimensional submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ with the second fundamental form B defined by $B_{XY}\overset{def.}{=}\left(\bar\nabla_XY\right)^N$ for $X,Y\in\Gamma(TM)$. We denote $(\cdots)^T$ and $(\cdots)^N$ for the orthogonal projections into the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle NM, respectively. For $\nu\in\Gamma(NM)$ we define the shape operator $A^\nu:TM\to TM$ by $A^\nu(V)=-(\bar\nabla_V\nu)^T$. Taking the trace of B gives the mean curvature vector H of M in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ and $H\overset{def.}{=}\text{trace}(B)=B_{e_ie_i}$, where $\{e_i\}$ is a local orthonormal frame field of M. Here and in the sequel we use the summation convention. The mean curvature vector is time-like, and a cross-section of the normal bundle.

We now consider a one-parameter family $F_t=F(\cdot,t)$ of immersions $F_t:M\to\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ with the corresponding images $M_t=F_t(M)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{d\,t}F(x,t)&=H(x,t),\qquad x\in M\\ F(x,0)&=F(x)\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

are satisfied, where $H(x,t)$ is the mean curvature vector of M_t at $F(x,t)$. There are many interesting results on mean curvature flow on space-like hypersurfaces in certain Lorentzian manifolds [9, 10, 11, 12]. For higher codimension we refer to the previous work of the second author [16].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58E20,53A10.

The authors are supported partially by NSFC.

A special but important class of solutions to (1.1) are self-similar shrinking solutions, whose profiles, space-like self-shrinkers, satisfy a system of quasi-linear elliptic PDE of the second order

$$H = -\frac{X^N}{2}. \tag{1.2}$$

Besides the Lagrangian space-like self-shrinkers [2, 13, 8], there is no sufficient attention having been paid on the space-like self-shrinkers. It is in a sharp contrast to the situation of the ambient Euclidean space. The present paper is devoted to this problem.

For a space-like $n-$submanifold M in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ we have the Gauss map $\gamma : M \to \mathbf{G}^m_{n,m}$. The target manifold is a pseudo-Grassmann manifold, dual space of the Grassmann manifold $\mathbf{G}_{n,m}$. In the next section we will describe its geometric properties, which will be used in the paper.

Choose a Lorentzian frame field $\{e_i, e_\alpha\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ with space-like $\{e_i\} \in TM$ and time-like $\{e_\alpha\} \in NM$ along the space-like submanifold $F : M \to \mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. Define coordinate functions

$$x^i = \langle F, e_i \rangle \, , \; y^\alpha = - \langle F, e_\alpha \rangle \, .$$

We then have

$$|F|^2 = X^2 - Y^2,$$

where $X = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x^i)^2}$, $\quad Y = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{m+n} (y^\alpha)^2}$. We call $|F|^2$ the pseudo-distance function from the origin $0 \in M$.

We always put the origin on M in the paper. We see that $|F|^2$ is invariant under the Lorentzian action up to the choice of the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. Set $z = |F|^2$. It has been proved that z is proper provided M is closed with the Euclidean topology (see [3] for $m = 1$ and [14] for any codimension m).

Following Colding and Minicozzi [5] we can also introduce the drift Laplacian,

$$\mathcal{L} = \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \langle F, \nabla(\cdot) \rangle = e^{\frac{z}{4}} div (e^{-\frac{z}{4}} \nabla(\cdot)). \tag{1.3}$$

It can be showed that $\mathcal{L}$ is self-adjoint with respect to the weighted volume $e^{-\frac{z}{4}} d\mu$, where $d\mu$ is the volume element of M with respect to the induced metric from the ambient space $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. In the present paper we carry out integrations with respect to this measure. We denote $\rho = e^{-\frac{z}{4}}$ and the volume form $d\mu$ might be omitted in the integrations for notational simplicity.

For a space-like submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ there are several geometric quantities. The squared norm of the second fundamental form $|B|^2$, the squared norm of the mean curvature $|H|^2$ and the $w-$function, which is related to the image of the Gauss map. In §3 we will calculate drift Laplacian $\mathcal{L}$ of those quantities, see Proposition 3.1.

In our case the pseudo-distance function z is always proper. It is natural to consider the volume growth in z. For the proper self-shrinkers in Euclidean space Ding-Xin [7] gave the volume estimates. It has been generalized in [4] for more general situation. But, the present case does not satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1 in [4]. However, the idea in [7] is still applicable for space-like self-shrinkers. In §4 we will give volume estimates for space-like self-shrinkers, in a similar manner as in [7], see Theorem 4.1.

Finally, using integral method we can obtain a rigidity result as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a space-like self-shrinker of dimension n in R^{n+m}_m, which is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology. If $|H|$ has polynomial growth in the pseudo-distance z, then M is an affine $n-$plane.*

Remark 1.1. *In the special situation, for the Lagrangian space-like self-shrinkers, the rigidity results hold without the growth condition (see* [8]*). Moreover, there is no example of non-planar space-like self-shrinker. We expect the rigidity results without growth condition in the general situation.*

Remark 1.2. *Rigidity problem for space-like extremal submanifolds was raised by E. Calabi* [1]*, and solved by Cheng-Yau* [3] *for codimension* 1*. Later, Jost-Xin generalized the results to higher codimension* [14]*. The rigidity problem for space-like submanifolds with parallel mean curvature was studied in* [17][19] *and* [14] *(see also in Chap. 8 of* [18]*).*

2. Geometry of $G^m_{n,m}$

In $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}_m$ all space-like $n-$subspaces form the pseudo-Grassmannian $G^m_{n,m}$. It is a specific Cartan-Hadamard manifold which is the noncompact dual space of the Grassmann manifold $G_{n,m}$.

Let P and $A \in G^m_{n,m}$ be two space-like $n-$plane in R^{m+n}_m. The angles between P and A are defined by the critical values of angel θ between a nonzero vector x in P and its orthogonal projection x^* in A as x runs through P.

Assume that $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ are orthonormal vectors which span the space-like P and $a_1, \cdots, a_n$ for space-like A. For a nonzero vector

$$x = \sum_i x_i e_i,$$

its orthonormal projections in A is

$$x^* = \sum_i x^*_i a_i.$$

Thus, for any $y \in A$, we have

$$\langle x - x^*, y \rangle = 0.$$

Set

$$W_{i\,j} = \langle e_i, a_j \rangle,$$

We then have

$$x^*_j = \sum_i W_{i\,j} x_i.$$

Since x is a vector in a space-like $n-$plane and its projection x^* in A is also a space-like vector. We then have a Minkowski plane R^2_1 spanned by x and x^*. Then angle θ between x and x^* is defined by

$$\cosh\theta = \frac{\langle x, x^*\rangle}{|x||x^*|}.$$

Let

$$W = (W_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} \langle e_1, a_1\rangle & \cdots & \langle e_n, a_1\rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle e_n, a_1\rangle & \cdots & \langle e_n, a_n\rangle \end{pmatrix}$$

Now define the $w-$function as

$$w = \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n\rangle = \det W.$$

W^TW is symmetric, its eigenvalues are $\mu_1^2, \cdots, \mu_n^2$, then there exist $e_1, \cdots, e_n$ in P, such that

$$W^TW = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1^2 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \mu_n^2 \end{pmatrix},$$

in which $\mu_i \geq 1$ and $\mu_i = \cosh\theta_i$. Then

$$w = \prod_i \cosh\theta_i = \prod_i \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\lambda_i^2}}, \ \lambda_i = \tanh\theta_i. \tag{2.1}$$

The distance between P and A in the canonical Riemannian metric on $\mathbf{G}^m_{n,m}$ is (see [15] for example)

$$d(P, A) = \sqrt{\sum_i \theta_i^2}.$$

For the fixed $A \in G^m_{n,m}$, which is spanned by $\{a_i\}$, choose time-like $\{a_{n+s}\}$ such that $\{a_i, a_{n+s}\}$ form an orthonormal Lorentzian bases of R^{n+m}_m.

Set

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= \cosh\theta_i a_i + \sinh\theta_i a_{n+i} \\ e_{n+i} &= \sinh\theta_i a_i + \cosh\theta_i a_{n+i} \text{ (and } e_{n+\alpha} = a_{n+\alpha} \text{ if } m > n). \end{aligned}$$

Then $e_i \in T_pM, e_{n+i} \in N_pM$. In this case

$$\begin{aligned} w_{i\,\alpha} &= \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i-1} \wedge e_\alpha \wedge e_{i+1} \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n\rangle && (2.2) \\ &= \cosh\theta_1 \cosh\theta_{i-1} \sinh\theta_i \cosh\theta_{i+1} \cosh\theta_n = \lambda_i w \delta_{n+i\ \alpha}, && (2.3) \end{aligned}$$

which is obtained by replacing e_i by e_α in w. We also have $w_{i\alpha j\beta}$ by replacing e_j by e_β in $w_{i\,\alpha}$. We obtain

$$w_{i\alpha j\beta} = \begin{cases} \lambda_i\lambda_j w & \alpha = n+i, \beta = n+j \\ -\lambda_i\lambda_j w & \alpha = n+j, \beta = n+i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.4}$$

3. DRIFT LAPLACIAN OF SOME GEOMETRIC QUANTITIES

The second fundamental form B can be viewed as a cross-section of the vector bundle $\mathrm{Hom}(\odot^2 TM, NM)$ over M. A connection on $\mathrm{Hom}(\odot^2 TM, NM)$ can be induced from those of TM and NM naturally. There is a natural fiber metric on $\mathrm{Hom}(\odot^2 TM, NM)$ induced from the ambient space and it becomes a Riemannian vector bundle. There is the trace-Laplace operator ∇^2 acting on any Riemannian vector bundle.

In [16] we already calculate $\nabla^2 B$ for general space-like $n-$submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$.

Set

$$B_{i\,j} = B_{e_i\,e_j} = h^\alpha_{i\,j} e_\alpha,\ S_{\alpha\,\beta} = h^\alpha_{i\,j} h^\beta_{i\,j}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 in [16] we have

$$\langle \nabla^2 B, B\rangle = \langle \nabla_i \nabla_j H, B_{i\,j}\rangle + \langle B_{i\,k}, H\rangle\langle B_{i\,l}, B_{k\,l}\rangle - |R^\perp|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\,\beta}, \tag{3.1}$$

where $R^\perp$ denotes the curvature of the normal bundle and

$$|R^\perp|^2 = -\langle R_{e_i\,e_j}\nu_\alpha, R_{e_i\,e_j}\nu_\alpha\rangle.$$

Then from the self-shrinker equation (1.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i F^N &= [\bar\nabla_i (F - \langle F, e_j\rangle e_j)]^N \\ &= [e_i - \bar\nabla_i \langle F, e_j\rangle e_j - \langle F, e_j\rangle \bar\nabla_{e_i} e_j]^N \\ &= -\langle F, e_j\rangle B_{i\,j}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i \nabla_j F^N &= -\nabla_i [\langle F, e_k\rangle B_{k\,j}] \\ &= -\delta^k_i B_{k\,j} - \langle F^N, B_{k\,i}\rangle B_{k\,j} - \langle F, e_k\rangle \nabla_i B_{k\,j} \\ &= -B_{i\,j} - \langle F^N, B_{k\,i}\rangle B_{k\,j} - \langle F, e_k\rangle \nabla_k B_{i\,j} \\ &= -B_{i\,j} + \langle 2H, B_{k\,i}\rangle B_{k\,j} - \langle F, e_k\rangle \nabla_k B_{i\,j}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $P_{i\,j} = \langle B_{i\,j}, H\rangle$, then

$$\nabla_i \nabla_j H = \frac{1}{2} B_{i\,j} - P_{k\,i} B_{k\,j} + \frac{1}{2}\langle F, e_k\rangle \nabla_k B_{i\,j}. \tag{3.2}$$

Substituting (3.2) into (3.1)we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^2 B, B\rangle &= \langle \frac{1}{2} B_{i\,j}, B_{i\,j}\rangle - \langle H, B_{k\,i}\rangle\langle B_{k\,j}, B_{i\,j}\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle F, e_k\rangle\langle \nabla_k B_{i\,j}, B_{i\,j}\rangle \\ &\quad + \langle B_{i\,k}, H\rangle\langle B_{i\,l}, B_{k\,l}\rangle - |R^\perp|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\,\beta}. \end{aligned}$$

This also means that

$$\langle \nabla^2 B, B\rangle = \frac{1}{2}\langle B, B\rangle + \frac{1}{4}\langle F^T, \nabla\langle B, B\rangle\rangle - |R^\perp|^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\,\beta}. \tag{3.3}$$

Note that $\Delta\langle B,B\rangle = 2\langle\nabla^2 B,B\rangle + 2\langle\nabla B,\nabla B\rangle$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\langle B,B\rangle = \langle B,B\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle F^T,\nabla\langle B,B\rangle\rangle - 2|R^\perp|^2 - 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\beta}\\ + 2\langle\nabla B,\nabla B\rangle.\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

We denote

$$|B|^2 = -\langle B,B\rangle = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} h^2_{\alpha ij},\ |\nabla B|^2 = -\langle\nabla B,\nabla B\rangle.$$

$$|H|^2 = -\langle H,H\rangle,\ |\nabla H|^2 = -\langle\nabla H,\nabla H\rangle$$

then

$$\Delta|B|^2 = |B|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle F^T,\nabla|B|^2\rangle + 2|R^\perp|^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\beta} + 2|\nabla B|^2\tag{3.5}$$

From (3.2) we also obtain

$$\nabla^2 H = \frac{1}{2}H - P_{ki}B_{kj} + \frac{1}{2}\langle F,e_k\rangle\nabla_k H.$$

Since

$$\Delta|H|^2 = -\Delta\langle H,H\rangle = -2\langle\nabla^2 H,H\rangle - 2\langle\nabla H,\nabla H\rangle,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta|H|^2 &= -2\langle\frac{1}{2}H - P_{ki}B_{ki} + \frac{1}{2}\langle F,e_k\rangle\nabla_k H, H\rangle - 2\langle\nabla H,\nabla H\rangle\\ &= |H|^2 + 2|P|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle F^T,\nabla|H|^2\rangle + 2|\nabla H|^2,\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

where $|P|^2 = \sum_{i,j} P^2_{ij}$.

In the pseudo-Grassmann manifold $\mathbf{G}^m_{n,m}$ there are $w-$functions with respect to a fixed point $A\in\mathbf{G}^m_{n,m}$, as shown in §2. For the space-like $n-$submanifold M in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ we define the Gauss map $\gamma: M\to\mathbf{G}^m_{n,m}$, which is obtained by parallel translation of T_pM for any $p\in M$ to the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. Then, we have functions $w\circ\gamma$ on M, which is still denoted by w for notational simplicity.

For any point $p\in M$ around p there is a local tangent frame field $\{e_i\}$, and which is normal at p. We also have a local orthonormal normal frame field $\{e_\alpha\}$, and which is normal at p. Define a $w-$function by

$$w = \langle e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n, a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_n\rangle\,,$$

where $\{a_i\}$ is a fixed orthonormal vectors which span a fixed space-like $n-$plane A. Denote

$$e_{i\,\alpha} = e_1\wedge\cdots\wedge e_\alpha\wedge\cdots\wedge e_n,$$

which is got by substituting e_α for e_i in $e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$ and $e_{i\alpha j\beta}$ is obtained by substituting e_β for e_j in $e_{i\,\alpha}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{e_j} w &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} e_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{i\,j} \cdots e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{\alpha}_{i\,j} \langle e_1 \cdots \wedge e_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{\alpha}_{i\,j} \langle e_{i\,\alpha}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{align}
\nabla_{e_i} \nabla_{e_j} w &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n), a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \notag \\
&= \sum_{k \neq l} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} e_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} e_l \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \notag \\
&\quad + \sum_{k} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \bar{\nabla}_{e_i} \bar{\nabla}_{e_j} e_k \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \notag \\
&= \sum_{k \neq l} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{j\,k} \wedge \cdots \wedge B_{il} \wedge \cdots e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \tag{3.8} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j e_k)^T \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \tag{3.9} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k} \langle e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge (\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j e_k)^N \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \tag{3.10}
\end{align}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) &= \sum_{k \neq l} h^{\alpha}_{j\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l} \langle e_{\alpha k \beta l}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
(3.9) &= \langle \bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j e_k, e_k \rangle w = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_j e_k, \bar{\nabla}_i e_k \rangle w = -\langle B_{j\,k}, B_{i\,k} \rangle w = h^{\alpha}_{j\,k} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} w \\
(3.10) &= -\langle (\bar{\nabla}_i \bar{\nabla}_j e_k)^N, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_{\alpha\,k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
&= -\langle (\bar{\nabla}_i (B_{j\,k} + \nabla_{e_j} e_k))^N, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_{\alpha\,k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle \\
&= -\langle \nabla_i B_{j\,k}, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_{\alpha\,k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle = -\langle \nabla_k B_{i\,j}, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_{\alpha\,k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle ,
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the Codazzi equation in the last step. Thus, we obtain

$$\Delta w = \sum_{i, k \neq l} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l} \langle e^{\alpha}_{k \beta l}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle + |B|^2 w - \langle \nabla_k H, e_\alpha \rangle \langle e_{\alpha k}, a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n \rangle ,$$

Since

$$\nabla_i F^N = -\langle F, e_j \rangle B_{i\,j},$$

from (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_i H &= \frac{1}{2}\langle F, e_j\rangle B_{i\,j} \\ \langle \nabla_i H, e_\alpha\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}\langle F, e_j\rangle h^{\alpha}_{i\,j}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

so,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta w &= |B|^2 w + \sum_{i,k\neq l} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l}\langle e_{\alpha k\beta l}, a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_n\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle F, e_i\rangle h^{\alpha}_{k\,i}\langle e_{\alpha k}, a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_n\rangle \\ &= |B|^2 w + \sum_{i,k\neq l} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l}\langle e_{\alpha k\beta l}, a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_n\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle F, \nabla w\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where (3.7) has been used in the last equality.

Proposition 3.1. *For a space-like self-shrinker M of dimension n in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$ we have*

$$\mathcal{L}|B|^2 = |B|^2 + 2|R^{\perp}|^2 + 2\sum_{\alpha,\beta} S^2_{\alpha\,\beta} + 2|\nabla B|^2, \tag{3.13}$$

$$\mathcal{L}|H|^2 = |H|^2 + 2|P|^2 + 2|\nabla H|^2, \tag{3.14}$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\ln w) \geq \frac{|B|^2}{w^2}. \tag{3.15}$$

Proof. From (1.3,3.5,3.6), we can obtain (3.13) and (3.14) easily.

From (1.3,3.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}w &= |B|^2 w + \sum_{i,k\neq l} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l}\langle e_{\alpha k\beta l}, a_1\wedge\cdots\wedge a_n\rangle = |B|^2 w + \sum_{i,k\neq l} h^{\alpha}_{i\,k} h^{\beta}_{i\,l} w_{\alpha k\beta l} \\ &= |B|^2 w + \sum_{i,k\neq l} \lambda_k\lambda_l (h^{n+k}_{i\,k} h^{n+l}_{i\,l} - h^{n+l}_{i\,k} h^{n+k}_{i\,l}) w, \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\mathcal{L}(\ln w) = \frac{1}{w}\mathcal{L}w - \frac{|\nabla w|^2}{w^2},$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(\ln w) = |B|^2 + \sum_{i,k\neq l} \lambda_k\lambda_l (h^{n+k}_{i\,k} h^{n+l}_{i\,l} - h^{n+l}_{i\,k} h^{n+k}_{i\,l}) - \frac{|\nabla w|^2}{w^2}.$$

From (3.7),we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla w|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\nabla_{e_j} w|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}_{ij} w_{i\,\alpha}\Big)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{n}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h^{n+i}_{ij}\lambda_i w\Big)^2 = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \lambda_i\lambda_k w^2 h^{n+i}_{i\,j} h^{n+k}_{k\,j}. \end{aligned}$$

in the case of $m \geq n$ we rewrite (otherwise, we treat the situation similarly)

$$|B|^2 = \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^{n+i})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{k<i} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{i<k} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2.$$

So, we obtain
(3.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}(\ln w) &= |B|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k\neq i} \lambda_i\lambda_k (h_{ij}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+k} - h_{ij}^{n+k} h_{jk}^{n+i}) - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \lambda_i\lambda_k h_{ij}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+k} \\
&= |B|^2 + \sum_{i,j,k\neq i} \lambda_i\lambda_k h_{ij}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+k} - \sum_{i,j,k\neq i} \lambda_i\lambda_k h_{ij}^{n+k} h_{jk}^{n+i} - \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{n} \lambda_i\lambda_k h_{ij}^{n+i} h_{jk}^{n+k} \\
&= \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^{n+i})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{k<i} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{i<k} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 \\
&\qquad - \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i^2 (h_{ij}^{n+i})^2 - \sum_{ij,k\neq i} \lambda_i\lambda_k h_{ij}^{n+k} h_{jk}^{n+i} \\
&= \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (1-\lambda_i^2)(h_{ij}^{n+i})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{k<i} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{i<k} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 \\
&\qquad - 2\sum_j \sum_{k<i} \lambda_k\lambda_i h_{jk}^{n+i} h_{ij}^{n+k} \\
&\geq \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \sum_{i,j} (1-\lambda_i^2)(h_{ij}^{n+i})^2 + \sum_j \sum_{k<i} (1-\lambda_i^2)(h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 \\
&\qquad + \sum_j \sum_{i<k} (1-\lambda_i^2)(h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 \\
&= \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} (1-\lambda_i^2)(h_{ij}^{n+k})^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{j,k,\alpha>n} (h_{jk}^{n+\alpha})^2 + \prod_i (1-\lambda_i^2) \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ij}^{n+k})^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Noting (2.1) the inequality (3.15) has been proved.

Remark 3.1. *(3.15) is a generalization of a formula (5.8) for space-like graphical self-shrinkers in* [6] *to more general situation.*

□

4. Volume growth

To draw our results we intend to integrate those differential inequalities obtained in the last section. We need to know the volume growth in the pseudo-distance function z on the space-like submanifolds. In [14] the following property has been proved.

Proposition 4.1. *(Proposition 3.1 in [14]) Let M be a space-like $n-$submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. If M is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology, then when $0 \in M$, $z = \langle F, F \rangle$ is a proper function on M.*

we also need a lemma from [7]:

Lemma 4.1. *If $f(r)$ is a monotonic increasing nonnegative function on $[0, +\infty)$ satisfying $f(r) \le C_1 r^n f(\frac{r}{2})$ on $[C_2, +\infty)$ for some positive constant n, C_1, C_2, here $C_2 > 1$, then $f(r) \le C_3 e^{2n(\log r)^2}$ on $[C_2, +\infty)$ for some positive constant C_3 depending only on $n, C_1, C_2, f(C_2)$.*

Using the similar method as in [7] we obtain the following volume growth estimates. Obviously, it is optimal.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $z = \langle F, F \rangle$ be the pseudo-Euclidean distance of R^{n+m}_m, where $F \in R^{n+m}_m$ is the position vector. Let M be an $n-$dimensional space-like self shrinker of R^{n+m}_m, Assume that M is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology and $0 \in M$, then M has polynomial volume growth in z of order $\frac{n}{2}$ at most.*

Proof. We have

$$z_i \overset{def.}{=} e_i(z) = 2\langle F, e_i \rangle,$$

$$z_{ij} \overset{def.}{=} Hess(z)(e_i, e_j) = 2\left(\delta_{ij} - \langle F, e_\alpha \rangle h^\alpha_{ij}\right),$$

$$\Delta z = 2n - 2\langle H, F \rangle = 2n + \left\langle F^N, F^N \right\rangle = 2n - Y^2, \tag{4.1}$$

where the self-shrinker equation (1.2) has been used in third equality. For our self-shrinker M^n in $\mathbb{R}^{n+m}_m$, we define a functional F_t on any set $\Omega \subset M$ by

$$F_t(\Omega) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \int_\Omega e^{-\frac{z}{4t}} d\mu, \quad \text{for} \quad t > 0.$$

Set $B_r = \{p \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m, z(p) < r^2\}$ and $D_r = B_r \bigcap M$. We differential $F_t(D_r)$ with respect to t,

$$F'_t(D_r) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} t^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)} \int_{D_r} (-\frac{n}{2} + \frac{z}{4t}) e^{-\frac{z}{4t}} d\mu.$$

Noting (4.1)

$$\begin{aligned} -e^{\frac{z}{4t}} \operatorname{div}(e^{-\frac{z}{4t}} \nabla z) &= -\Delta z + \frac{1}{4t} \nabla z \cdot \nabla z \\ &= -2n + Y^2 + \frac{X^2}{t} \\ &\ge \frac{z}{t} - 2n \quad (\textit{ when } t > 0\ ). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Since

$$\nabla z = 2F^T$$

and the unit normal vector to ∂D_r is $\frac{F^T}{X}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_t'(D_r) \leq & \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}(4t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)}\int_{D_r} -\mathrm{div}(e^{-\frac{z}{4t}}\nabla z)d\mu \\ = & \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}(4t)^{-(\frac{n}{2}+1)}\int_{\partial D_r} -2Xe^{-\frac{z}{4t}} \leq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

We integrate $F_t'(D_r)$ over t from 1 to $r^2 \geq 1$ and get

$$r^{-n}\int_{D_r} e^{-\frac{z}{4r^2}}d\mu \leq \int_{D_r} e^{-\frac{z}{4}}d\mu.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r^n}e^{-\frac{1}{4}}\int_{D_r} 1d\mu \leq & \frac{1}{r^n}\int_{D_r} e^{-\frac{z}{4r^2}}d\mu \leq \int_{D_r} e^{-\frac{z}{4}}d\mu = \int_{(D_r\setminus D_{\frac{r}{2}})\cup D_{\frac{r}{2}}} e^{-\frac{z}{4}}d\mu \\ \leq & e^{-\frac{r^2}{16}}\int_{D_r} 1d\mu + \int_{D_{\frac{r}{2}}} 1d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Let $V(r) = \int_{D_r} 1d\mu$, then by (4.4),

$$V(r) \leq 2e^{\frac{1}{4}}r^nV(\frac{r}{2}) \qquad \text{for } r \text{ sufficiently large (say, } r \geq 8n).$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$V(r) \leq C_4e^{2n(\log r)^2} \quad for \quad r \geq 8n,$$

here C_4 is a constant depending only on $n, V(8n)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M e^{-\frac{z}{4}}d\mu = & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\int_{D_{8n(j+1)}\setminus D_{8nj}} e^{-\frac{z}{4}}d\mu \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(8nj)^2}{4}}f(8n(j+1)) \\ \leq & C_4\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{(8nj)^2}{4}}e^{2n(\log(8n)+\log(j+1))^2} \leq C_5, \end{aligned}$$

where C_5 is a constant depending only on $n, V(8n)$. So we obtain our estimates. □

5. A RIGIDITY RESULT

Now, we are in a position to prove a rigidity result mentioned in the introduction.

Theorem 5.1. *Let M be a space-like self-shrinker of dimension n in R^{n+m}_m, which is closed with respect to the Euclidean topology. If $|H|$ has polynomial growth in z, then M is an affine $n-$plane.*

Proof. Let η be a smooth function with compact support in M, then by (3.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\int_M(\frac{1}{2}|H|^2+|P|^2+|\nabla H|^2)\eta^2\rho &= \frac{1}{2}\int_M(\mathcal{L}|H|^2)\eta^2\rho = \frac{1}{2}\int_M \operatorname{div}(\rho\nabla|H|^2)\eta^2\\ &= -\int_M \eta\rho\nabla|H|^2\cdot\nabla\eta\\ &= 2\int_M \eta\rho\langle\nabla_i H, H\rangle\cdot\nabla_i\eta\\ &\le \int_M|\nabla H|^2\eta^2\rho+\int_M|H|^2|\nabla\eta|^2\rho.\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

We then have

$$\int_M(\frac{1}{2}|H|^2+|P|^2)\eta^2\rho \le \int_M|H|^2|\nabla\eta|^2\rho. \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\eta=\phi(\frac{|F|}{r})$ for any $r>0$, where ϕ is a nonnegative function on $[0,+\infty)$ satisfying

$$\phi(x)=\begin{cases}1 & if \quad x\in[0,1)\\ 0 & if \quad x\in[2,+\infty),\end{cases}$$

and $|\phi'|\le C$ for some absolute constant. Since $\nabla z=2F^T$,

$$\nabla\eta=\frac{1}{r}\phi'\nabla\sqrt{z}=\frac{1}{r}\phi'\frac{F^T}{\sqrt{z}}.$$

By (1.2) we have

$$|\nabla\eta|^2\le\frac{C^2}{r^2}\frac{|F^T|^2}{z}=\frac{1}{r^2 z}C^2(z+4|H|^2).$$

It follows that (5.2) becomes

$$\int_{D_r}\left(\frac{1}{2}|H|^2+|P|^2\right)\rho\le\frac{C^2}{r^2}\int_{D_{2r}\setminus D_r}|H|^2(1+\frac{4|H|^2}{z})\rho. \tag{5.3}$$

By Theorem 4.1 then if $|H|$ has polynomial growth, we obtain that the right hand side of (5.3) approaches to zero as $r\to+\infty$. This implies that $H\equiv 0$.

According to Theorem 3.3 in [14] we see that M is complete with respect to the induced metric from $\mathbb{R}^{m+n}_m$. In a geodesic ball $B_a(x)$ of radius a and centered at $x\in M$ we can make gradient estimates of $|B|^2$ in terms of the mean curvature. From (2.9) in [14] we have

$$|B|^2\le k\frac{2m(n-4)a^2}{(a^2-r^2)^2}.$$

Since M is complete we can fix x and let a go to infinity. Hence, $|B|^2=0$ at any $x\in M$ and M is an $n-$plane. □

References

1. E. Calabi: Examples of Bernstein problems for some nonlinear equations. Proc. Symp. Global Analysis U. C. Berkeley. (1968).
2. A. Chau, J. Chen, and Y. Yuan, Rigidity of Entire self-shrinking solutions to curvature flows, J. reine angew. Math. **664** (2012), 229-239.
3. S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau: Maximal spacelike hypersurfaces in the Lorentz- Minkowski spaces. Ann. Math. **104** (1976), 407-419.
4. Xu Cheng and Detang Zhou: Volume estimates about shrinkers, arXiv:1106.4950.
5. Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi II, Generic Mean Curvature Flow I; Generic Singularities, Ann. Math. **175** (2012), 755-833.
6. Qi Ding and Zhizhang Wang: On the self-shrinking system in arbitrary codimensional spaces. arXiv:1012.0429v2 [math.DG].
7. Qi Ding and Y. L. Xin, Volume growth, eigenvalue and compactness for self-shrinkers, arXiv:1101.1411 [math.DG] (to appear in Asia J. Math.)
8. Qi Ding and Y. L. Xin: The rigidity theorems for Lagrangian self-shrinkers. arXiv:1112.2453 [math.DG] (to appear in J. reine angew. Math.)
9. K. Ecker: On mean curvature flow of spacelike hypersurfaces in asymptotically flat spacetime. J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser A **55** (1993) no. 1, 41-59.
10. K. Ecker: Interior estimates and longtime solutions for mean curvature flow of noncompact spacelike hypersurfaces in Minkowski space. J. Differential Geom. **46** (1997) no.3, 481-498.
11. K. Ecker: Mean curvature flow of of spacelike hypersurfaces near null initial data. Comm. Anal. Geom. **11**no. 2(2003), 181-205.
12. K. Ecker and G. Huisken: Parabolic methods for the construction of spacelike slices of prescribed mean curvature in cosmological spacetimes. Commun. Math. Phys. **135**(1991), 595-613.
13. Rongli Huang and Zhizhang Wang, On the entire self-shrinking solutions to Lagrangian mean curvature flow, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **41** (2011), 321-339.
14. J. Jost and Y. L. Xin: Some aspects ofthe global geometry of entire space-like submanifolds. Result Math. **40** (2001), 233-245.
15. Yung-Chow Wong: Euclidean n-planes in pseudo-Euclidean spaces and differential geometry of Cartan domain. Bull. A. M. S. **75** (1969), 409-414.
16. Y. L. Xin: Mean curvature flow with bounded Gauss image. Results Math. **59**(2011), 415-436.
17. Y. L. Xin: On the Gauss image of a spacelike hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in Minkowski space. Comment. Math. Helv.**66**(1991), 590-598.
18. Yuanlong Xin: Minimal submanifolds and related topics. World Scientific Publ. (2003).
19. Y. L. Xin and R. G. Ye: Bernstein-type theorems for space-like surfaces with parallel mean curvature. J. rein angew. math. **489**(1997), 189-198.

School of Mathematics and Information Sciences, Henan University, Kaifeng 475004, China.

E-mail address: `liuhuaqiao@henu.edu.cn`

Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China.

E-mail address: `ylxin@fudan.edu.cn`